arXiv:math/0611110v2 [math.CA] 20 Dec 2006

DOUBLING MEASURES, MONOTONICITY, AND QUASICONFORMALITY

LEONID V. KOVALEV, DIEGO MALDONADO, AND JANG-MEI WU

Abstract. We construct quasiconformal mappings in Euclidean spaces by integration of a discontinuous kernel against doubling measures with suitable decay. The differentials of mappings that arise in this way satisfy an isotropic form of the doubling condition. We prove that this isotropic doubling condition is satisfied by the distance functions of certain fractal sets. Finally, we construct an isotropic doubling measure that is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

1. Introduction

Given a nonatomic positive Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$, define an increasing function $f_\mu(x) = \int_0^x d\mu(z)$, so that $f'_\mu = \mu$ in the sense of distributions. It is well-known that μ is doubling if and only if f_μ is quasisymmetric. We extend this relation between doubling measures and quasisymmetric mappings to higher dimensions. Observe that

$$f_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} + \frac{z}{|z|} \right\} d\mu(z).$$

For a nonatomic Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, we define $f_\mu : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$f_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{x-z}{|x-z|} + \frac{z}{|z|} \right\} d\mu(z). \tag{1.1}$$

where $|\cdot|$ is now interpreted as the Euclidean norm. When $n \geq 2$ the integrand in (1.1) is not compactly supported with respect to z. The integral (1.1) converges provided that μ satisfies the decay condition

$$\int_{|z|>1} |z|^{-1}\, d\mu(z) < \infty. \tag{1.2}$$

For $0 < \gamma < n$, let

$$\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-z|^{\gamma-n}\, d\mu(z)$$

be the Riesz potential of μ of order γ. Condition (1.2) is equivalent to $\mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mu$ being finite almost everywhere. Here and in the sequel the words "almost everywhere" or "a.e." refer to the Lebesgue measure. A positive Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called doubling if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that $\mu(2B) \leq C\mu(B)$ for every ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $2B$ stands for the ball that has the same center as B and twice its radius.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C65. Secondary 28A75, 42A55, 47H05.
L.V.K. was supported by an NSF Young Investigator award under grant DMS 0601926.
J.-M.W. was supported by the NSF grant DMS 0400810.

Theorem 1.1. *Let μ be a doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfies the decay condition* (1.2)*. The mapping f_μ defined by* (1.1) *is η-quasisymmetric with η depending only on the doubling constant of μ. Furthermore, f_μ is δ-monotone and for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\frac{1}{12C^3}\mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mu(x) \le \|Df_\mu(x)\| \le \pi\mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mu(x), \tag{1.3}$$

where C is the doubling constant of μ.

Theorem 1.1 expands the class of weights that are known to be comparable to Jacobians of quasiconformal mappings. The quasiconformal Jacobian problem posed by David and Semmes in [9] asks for a characterization of all such weights (see also [4, 6, 7, 16, 18]). The authors of [6] point out that such a characterization would give a good idea of which metric spaces are bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

A mapping $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called monotone if $\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y\rangle \ge 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is the inner product [2, 27]. In other words, F is monotone if the angle formed by the vectors $F(x) - F(y)$ and $x - y$ is at most $\pi/2$. A stronger version of this condition, introduced by Sobolevskii in [19], requires the angle to be bounded by a constant less than $\pi/2$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\delta \in (0, 1]$. A mapping F from a convex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called δ-monotone if for all $x, y \in \Omega$

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y\rangle \ge \delta|F(x) - F(y)||x - y|. \tag{1.4}$$

Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. An injective mapping $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called η-quasisymmetric if there is a homeomorphism $\eta : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ such that

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(z)|}{|f(y) - f(z)|} \le \eta\left(\frac{|x - z|}{|y - z|}\right), \quad z \in \Omega,\ x, y \in \Omega \setminus \{z\}. \tag{1.5}$$

In the case $n \ge 2$, f is called K-quasiconformal if $f \in W^{1,n}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and the operator norm of the derivative Df satisfies $\|Df(x)\|^n \le K \det Df(x)$, a.e. in Ω for some $K \ge 1$.

Every sense-preserving quasisymmetric mapping $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, is quasiconformal and vice versa ([12, Ch. 10], [24, p. 98]). The following result relates δ-monotone and quasisymmetric mappings.

Theorem 1.3. [14, Theorem 4] *Suppose that $n \ge 2$ and $f\colon \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a nonconstant δ-monotone mapping. If B is a closed ball such that $2B \subset \Omega$, then f is η-quasisymmetric on B with η depending only on δ.*

Quasiconformality is known to be related to the doubling condition in several ways [5, 17, 20]. For example, if $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is quasiconformal, then $\|Df\|^n$ is a doubling weight, and moreover an A_∞ weight [10]. Consequently, $\|Df\|$ is doubling as well. Since δ-monotonicity is a stronger property than quasiconformality, one can expect that the differential of a δ-monotone mappings exhibits a stronger doubling behavior. Our Theorem 1.5 confirms this. Before stating it, we observe that a Radon measure μ is doubling if and only if there exists a constant A such that

$$A^{-1} \le \frac{\mu(Q_1)}{\mu(Q_2)} \le A$$

for any congruent cubes Q_1 and Q_2 with nonempty intersection. Recall that two subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are called congruent if there is an isometry of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that maps one of them onto the other.

Definition 1.4. A Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is *isotropic doubling* if there is a constant $A \geq 1$ such that

$$A^{-1} \leq \frac{\mu(R_1)}{\mu(R_2)} \leq A \tag{1.6}$$

whenever R_1 and R_2 are congruent rectangular boxes with nonempty intersection.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonconstant δ-monotone mapping, $n \geq 2$. Then the weight $\|Df\|$ is isotropic doubling.*

The requirement (1.6) for all boxes regardless of their orientation and aspect ratio imposes a very strong condition on the measure when $n \geq 2$. In particular, in any cube the projection of an isotropic doubling measure to any $(n-1)$-dimensional face of the cube is comparable to the $(n-1)$-dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}$ (Lemma 3.1).

Theorem 1.6. *For every $n \geq 2$ there exists an isotropic doubling measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that is purely singular with respect to $\mathcal{L}^n$.*

Theorem 1.7. *For every $n \geq 2$ there exists an isotropic doubling measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a bi-Lipschitz mapping $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that the pushforward measure $f_{\#}\mu$ is not isotropic doubling.*

Theorems 1.1, 1.5, 1.6, and 1.7 are proved in sections 2, 3, 5 and 6, respectively. We also prove that the distance functions of certain fractal subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ give rise to isotropic doubling weights (Proposition 3.6). By virtue of this result, the sets constructed by Semmes [18] and Laakso [16] provide examples of isotropic doubling weights that are not comparable to $\|Df\|$ for any δ-monotone, or even quasiconformal, mapping $f \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. Doubling measures and monotone mappings

The balls, cubes, and rectangular boxes considered in this paper are assumed closed. A nonnegative locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called a *weight.* A weight is doubling if the measure $w(x)d\mathcal{L}^n(x)$ is doubling, where $\mathcal{L}^n$ is the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Throughout the paper we only consider positive nonzero measures.

In this section we study the mapping f_μ defined by (1.1). In particular, we prove Theorem 1.1 and its more general version, Theorem 2.1. Given three distinct points $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $l(x, y, z) = |x-y| + |x-z| + |y-z|$ denote the perimeter of the triangle xyz. Also let $\widehat{zxy}$ be the angle between the vectors $y-x$ and $z-x$, and define

$$\tau(x, y, z) := \pi - \max\{\widehat{zxy}, \widehat{zyx}\}.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex domain, $n \geq 2$. Let μ be a nonatomic Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ that satisfies* (1.2)*. Suppose that there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{y \to x} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tau^2(x, y, z)\, d\mu(z)}{l(x, y, z)} \right) \Big/ \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tau(x, y, z)\, d\mu(z)}{l(x, y, z)} \right) \geq \kappa \tag{2.1}$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Then f_μ is δ-monotone in Ω with $\delta = \kappa/(2\pi^2)$.

The proof is preceded by an elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Fix* $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and define* $g_z(x) = (x - z)/|x - z|$ *for* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{z\}$. *For any distinct points* $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{z\}$ *we have*

$$\frac{2|x-y|}{\pi l(x,y,z)}\tau(x,y,z) \le |g_z(x) - g_z(y)| \le \frac{4|x-y|}{l(x,y,z)}\tau(x,y,z) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\frac{2|x-y|^2}{\pi^2 l(x,y,z)}\tau(x,y,z)^2 \le \langle g_z(x) - g_z(y), x - y\rangle \le \frac{4|x-y|^2}{l(x,y,z)}\tau(x,y,z)^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. First we prove (2.2). By the sine theorem

$$\frac{\sin \widehat{xzy}}{|x-y|} = \frac{\sin \widehat{zyx} + \sin \widehat{zxy}}{|x-z| + |y-z|}. \tag{2.4}$$

Express the sum of sines as a product:

$$\sin \widehat{zyx} + \sin \widehat{zxy} = 2 \cos \frac{\widehat{xzy}}{2} \cos \frac{\widehat{zyx} - \widehat{zxy}}{2}. \tag{2.5}$$

Combining (2.4), (2.5), and the identity $\sin \widehat{xzy} = 2 \sin \frac{\widehat{xzy}}{2} \cos \frac{\widehat{xzy}}{2}$, we obtain

$$\frac{\sin \frac{\widehat{xzy}}{2}}{|x-y|} = \frac{\cos \frac{\widehat{zyx} - \widehat{zxy}}{2}}{|x-z| + |y-z|}. \tag{2.6}$$

The definition of g_z implies

$$|g_z(x) - g_z(y)| = 2 \sin \frac{\widehat{xzy}}{2},$$

which together with (2.6) yield

$$|g_z(x) - g_z(y)| = \frac{2|x-y|}{|x-z| + |y-z|} \cos \frac{\widehat{zyx} - \widehat{zxy}}{2}. \tag{2.7}$$

By the triangle inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} l(x,y,z) \le |x-z| + |y-z| \le l(x,y,z). \tag{2.8}$$

Therefore (2.2) will follow from (2.7) once we prove that

$$\frac{1}{\pi}\tau(x,y,z) \le \cos \frac{\widehat{zyx} - \widehat{zxy}}{2} \le \tau(x,y,z). \tag{2.9}$$

Let $\alpha = \max\{\widehat{zxy}, \widehat{zyx}\}$. We have

$$\cos \frac{\widehat{zyx} - \widehat{zxy}}{2} \ge \cos \frac{\alpha}{2} = \sin \frac{\pi - \alpha}{2} \ge \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\pi - \alpha}{2} = \frac{1}{\pi}\tau(x,y,z),$$

which proves the first inequality in (2.9). The second inequality is trivial when $\alpha \le \pi/2$, because then $\tau(x,y,z) = \pi - \alpha > 1$. If $\alpha > \pi/2$, then

$$\cos \frac{\widehat{zyx} - \widehat{zxy}}{2} \le \cos \frac{\alpha - (\pi - \alpha)}{2} = \sin(\pi - \alpha) \le \pi - \alpha = \tau(x,y,z).$$

This proves (2.9) and (2.2).

The proof of (2.3) involves the identity $\cos\alpha + \cos\beta = 2\cos\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}\cos\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle g_z(x) - g_z(y), x-y\rangle &= \frac{\langle z-x, y-x\rangle}{|x-z|} + \frac{\langle z-y, x-y\rangle}{|y-z|}\\ &= (\cos\widehat{zxy} + \cos\widehat{xyz})|x-y|\\ &= 2\sin\frac{\widehat{xzy}}{2}\cos\frac{\widehat{zxy}-\widehat{xyz}}{2}|x-y|\\ &= |g_z(x)-g_z(y)||x-y|\cos\frac{\widehat{zxy}-\widehat{xyz}}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.7) we obtain

$$\langle g_z(x) - g_z(y), x-y\rangle = \frac{2|x-y|^2}{|x-z|+|y-z|}\cos^2\frac{\widehat{zyx}-\widehat{zxy}}{2}. \tag{2.10}$$

This together with (2.8) and (2.9) imply (2.3). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1 . Since

$$f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(y) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}(g_z(x)-g_z(y))\,d\mu(z),$$

Lemma 2.2 implies the following inequalities.

$$|f_\mu(x)-f_\mu(y)| \le 2|x-y|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\tau(x,y,z)}{l(x,y,z)}d\mu(z); \tag{2.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\pi^2}|x-y|^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\tau(x,y,z)^2}{l(x,y,z)}\,d\mu(z) &\le \langle f_\mu(x)-f_\mu(y), x-y\rangle\\ &\le 2|x-y|^2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{\tau(x,y,z)^2}{l(x,y,z)}\,d\mu(z).\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Choose a number κ' so that $0<\kappa'<\kappa$. Combining (2.11), (2.12), and (2.1), we conclude that for every $x\in\Omega$ there exists $\varepsilon(x)>0$ such that

$$\langle f_\mu(x)-f_\mu(y), x-y\rangle \ge \frac{\kappa'}{2\pi^2}|f_\mu(x)-f_\mu(y)||x-y| \tag{2.13}$$

whenever $|x-y|\le\varepsilon(x)$. Next, let x and y be any distinct points in Ω. The line segment $[x,y]$ is covered by open balls $B(z,\varepsilon(z)/2)$, $z\in[x,y]$. Choose a finite subcover with centers $z_j = y+t_j(x-y)$, $0=t_0<t_1<\cdots<t_N=1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f_\mu(x)-f_\mu(y), x-y\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{N}\langle f_\mu(z_j)-f_\mu(z_{j-1}), x-y\rangle\\ &\ge \frac{\kappa'}{2\pi^2}\sum_{j=1}^{N}|f_\mu(z_j)-f_\mu(z_{j-1})||x-y|\\ &\ge \frac{\kappa'}{2\pi^2}|f_\mu(x)-f_\mu(y)||x-y|.\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\kappa'\to\kappa$ completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let C be such that $\mu(2B)\le C\mu(B)$ for any ball $B\subset\mathbb{R}^n$. Given two distinct points $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $r>0$, choose a point $w\in\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $x-w$ is orthogonal to $x-y$ and $|x-w|=r/2$. It is easy to see that $\tau(x,y,z)\ge\pi/3$

for all $z \in B(w, r/4)$. Since $B(x,r) \subset B(w, 3r/2) \subset 8B(w, r/4)$, it follows that $\mu(B(x,r)) \le C^3 \mu(B(w, r/4))$. Using this together with the inequality

$$|x-z| + |x-y| \le l(x,y,z) \le 2(|x-z| + |x-y|),$$

we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{|x-z| + |x-y|} = \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu(B(x,r))\, dr}{(r + |x-y|)^2} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tau(x,y,z)^2}{l(x,y,z)} d\mu(z) \ge \frac{\pi^2}{6C^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu(B(x,r))\, dr}{(r + |x-y|)^2}. \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, μ satisfies a stronger condition than (2.1), namely

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tau^2(x,y,z)}{l(x,y,z)} d\mu(z) \ge c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} \tag{2.16}$$

for any distinct points $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Here $c = \pi^2/(6C^3)$. By Theorem 2.1 the mapping f_μ is δ-monotone, and therefore η-quasisymmetric. Here η depends only on δ, which in turn depends only on C.

It remains to prove (1.3). Since $l(x,y,z) \ge 2|x-z|$, inequality (2.11) implies

$$\limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(y)|}{|x-y|} \le \pi \mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mu(x), \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{2.17}$$

Inequalities (2.12) and (2.16) yield

$$\liminf_{y \to x} \frac{|f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(y)|}{|x-y|} \ge \frac{1}{6C^3} \liminf_{y \to x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)}.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ the limit

$$\lim_{y \to x} \frac{1}{l(x,y,z)} = \frac{1}{2|x-z|}$$

is uniform with respect to $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, \varepsilon)$. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{y \to x} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x,\varepsilon)} \frac{d\mu(z)}{2|x-z|}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\liminf_{y \to x} \frac{|f_\mu(x) - f_\mu(y)|}{|x-y|} \ge \frac{1}{12C^3} \mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mu(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{2.18}$$

because μ has no atoms. Combining the estimates (2.17) and (2.18), we conclude that (1.3) holds whenever f_μ is differentiable at x. □

Remark 2.3. Condition (2.1) is close to being best possible for the δ-monotonicity of f_μ in Theorem 2.1 in view of (2.2), (2.3), and (2.12). The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows that condition (2.16) is sufficient for the validity of (1.3).

Since the assumption (2.1) in Theorem 2.1 is hard to verify directly, we state a simpler condition that implies it. Given a line L in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a point $x \in L$, let $S_\alpha(x, L)$ be the double-sided cone of opening angle $\alpha \in (0, \pi/2)$ with vertex x and axis L. Formally, $z \in S_\alpha(x, L)$ if and only if the acute angle between the vector $z - x$ and the line L is at most α. We also introduce a notation for spherical shells $A(x, r, R) = \{z \colon r < |z - x| \le R\}$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let μ be a nonatomic Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that there exist constants $\alpha \in (0,\pi/2)$, $C > 0$, and $M \geq 1$ such that for any line $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, any $x \in L$ and any $r > 0$ we have*

$$\mu(A(x,r,2r)) \leq C\mu(A(x,M^{-1}r,2Mr)\setminus S_\alpha(x,L)). \tag{2.19}$$

Then the measure μ satisfies (2.16) *and consequently* (2.1).

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $M = 2^m$ for some integer m.

Given $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}$, let L be the line through x and y. Inequality (2.19) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S_\alpha(x,L)} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} \tag{2.20}$$

where C_1 depends only on C and M. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} &= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \int_{A(x,2^k,2^{k+1})} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)} \leq \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mu(A(x,2^k,2^{k+1}))}{2^k+|x-y|} \\ &\leq C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{\mu(A(x,M^{-1}2^k,M2^{k+1})\setminus S_\alpha(x,L))}{2^k+|x-y|} \\ &\leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S_\alpha(x,L)} \frac{d\mu(z)}{l(x,y,z)},\end{aligned}$$

which proves (2.20). Since $\tau(x,y,z) \geq \alpha$ for all $z \notin S_\alpha(x,L)$, estimate (2.20) implies (2.16). ☐

Any doubling measure satisfies (2.19), because the set $A(x,r,2r)\setminus S_\alpha(x,L)$ contains a ball of radius comparable to r. The following result shows that (2.19) is satisfied by some non-doubling measures as well.

Proposition 2.5. *Let ν be a doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$. Define a measure μ by setting $\mu(E) = \nu(E\setminus B(0,1))$. Then μ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition* 2.4.

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$. If $A(x,r,2r) \subset B(0,1)$, then (2.19) holds. Suppose that there is a point $y \in A(x,r,2r)\setminus B(0,1)$. Let $y' = y + 4ry/|y|$. Note that $B(y',2r)\cap B(0,1) = \varnothing$ and $2r \leq |y'-x| \leq 6r$. For every line L through x there is a point $z \in B(y',r)$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(z,L) \geq r$. It follows that $B(z,r/2)\cap S_\alpha(x,L) = \varnothing$ for sufficiently small absolute constant α. Therefore,

$$B(z,r/2) \subset A(x,r/4,8r)\setminus S_\alpha(x,L).$$

Furthermore, $\mu(B(z,r/2)) = \nu(B(z,r/2))$ because $B(z,r/2) \subset B(y',2r)$. Using the doubling property of ν we obtain

$$\nu(B(z,r/2)) \geq C'\nu(B(x,2r)) \geq \mu(A(x,r,2r))$$

where C' depends on the doubling constant of ν. ☐

Example 2.6. The measure μ defined by the weight

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^p, & |x| > 1, \\ 0, & |x| \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 whenever $-n < p < 1-n$. However, μ is not a doubling measure.

Remark 2.7. For any $\gamma \in (n-1, n)$ and every Radon measure μ the Riesz potential $\mathcal{I}_\gamma \mu$ is comparable to $\|Df\|$ for some quasiconformal mapping $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, provided that $\mathcal{I}_\gamma \mu(x) \not\equiv \infty$ [15, Lemma 4.1]. By the composition formula for Riesz potentials [21, p. 118] we have $\mathcal{I}_\gamma \mu = C\mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mathcal{I}_{\gamma-n+1}\mu$ for some constant $C = C(n,\gamma)$. Since $\mathcal{I}_{\gamma-n+1}\mu$ is a doubling measure, and even an A_1-weight, Lemma 4.1 in [15] also follows from Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2.8. In [7] Bonk, Heinonen, and Saksman used quasiconformal flows to give another class of weights that are comparable to quasiconformal Jacobians. These are weights of the form

$$w(x) = \exp\left\{ -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log|x-z|\, d\mu(z) \right\}, \tag{2.21}$$

where μ is a signed Radon measure of sufficiently small total variation. Neither of the classes of weights in Theorem 1.1 and equation (2.21) is contained in the other one.

It is possible that the relation between Riesz potentials and Jacobians of quasiconformal mappings can be extended beyond the results presented here.

Question 2.9. Let $n \ge 2$, $0 < \gamma < n$, and let μ be a Radon measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathcal{I}_\gamma \mu \not\equiv \infty$. Does there exist a quasiconformal mapping $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $C^{-1}\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mu \le \det Df \le C\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mu$ a.e. for some constant C?

By Gehring's theorem [10, Theorem 1] every quasiconformal mapping f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies $\|Df\| \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}$ for some $p > n$. This and Theorem 1.1 immediately imply the following result.

Corollary 2.10. *If μ is a doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \ge 2$, then the Riesz potential $\mathcal{I}_{n-1}\mu$ is either infinite at every point or is in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $p > n$.*

Corollary 2.10 is probably known, although we could not find a reference.

3. ISOTROPIC DOUBLING MEASURES

In this section we prove Theorem 1.5 and give several examples of isotropic doubling measures. It is clear that any weight $w \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} w(x) > 0$ is isotropic doubling.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that a measure μ satisfies* (1.6), *and $n \ge 2$. Then:*

(i) For any congruent rectangular boxes $R_1, R_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$A^{-m} \le \frac{\mu(R_1)}{\mu(R_2)} \le A^m, \quad \textit{where } m = \left\lceil \frac{\operatorname{dist}(R_1,R_2)}{\operatorname{diam}(R_1)} \right\rceil + 1. \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube, and let F be a face of R. The pushforward $\pi_\# \mu_{|Q}$ of $\mu_{|Q}$ under the orthogonal projection $\pi\colon Q \to F$ is comparable to $\mathcal{L}^{n-1}_{|F}$ with constants that depend only on n and A.

Proof. (i) There exist congruent boxes $S_0, \dots, S_m$ such that $S_0 = R_1$, $S_m = R_2$, and $S_i \cap S_{i-1} \ne \varnothing$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Repeated application of (1.6) yields (3.1).

(ii) Let l be the length of the edges of Q. Let Q_1 and Q_2 be congruent $(n-1)$-dimensional cubes contained in F. The boxes $R_i = \pi^{-1}(Q_i)$ have diameter at least

l and the distance between them is at most $\operatorname{diam} F \le \sqrt{n-1}l$. By (3.1) we have

$$A^{-m} \le \frac{\pi_\# \mu(Q_1)}{\pi_\# \mu(Q_2)} \le A^m, \quad \text{where } m = \lceil \sqrt{n-1} \rceil + 1.$$

The statement follows by letting $\operatorname{diam}(Q_i) \to 0$. □

Lemma 3.1 implies that any isotropic doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ vanishes on sets of $(n-1)$-dimensional measure zero. The following lemma is the main step in our proof of Theorem 1.5.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonconstant δ-monotone mapping, $n \ge 2$. There exists $C > 1$ such that for any rectangular box $R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$C^{-1} \frac{\operatorname{diam}(f(R))}{\operatorname{diam}(R)} \le \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}^n(R)} \int_R \|Df(x)\| d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \le C \frac{\operatorname{diam}(f(R))}{\operatorname{diam}(R)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Here C depends only on δ and n.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$R = \{x : \forall i \ 0 \le x_i \le a_i\}, \quad \text{where} \ \ a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \dots \ge a_n.$$

We use $e_1, \dots, e_n$ to denote the standard basis vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\pi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (0, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be the orthogonal projection onto the linear span of $e_2, \dots, e_n$. Fix $z \in \pi(R)$ for now. Since f is quasisymmetric, it follows that

$$|f(z + a_1 e_1) - f(z)| \ge c \operatorname{diam} f(R)$$

where c depends only on δ and n. On the other hand, Definition 1.2 implies that the image of the line segment $\pi^{-1}(z) \cap R$ under f is a rectifiable curve of length at most $\delta^{-1}|f(z + a_1 e_1) - f(z)|$, which is in turn bounded by $\delta^{-1} \operatorname{diam} f(R)$. Therefore,

$$c \operatorname{diam} f(R) \le \int_0^{a_1} \|Df(z + te_1)\| \, dt \le \delta^{-1} \operatorname{diam} f(R). \tag{3.3}$$

Integrating (3.3) over $z \in \pi(R)$, we obtain

$$c \operatorname{diam} f(R) \prod_{i=2}^n a_i \le \int_R \|Df(x)\| \, d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \le \delta^{-1} \operatorname{diam} f(R) \prod_{i=2}^n a_i.$$

Dividing the latter inequality by $\mathcal{L}^n(R)$, we arrive at (3.2). □

Proof of Theorem 1.5 . By virtue of Lemma 3.2 it suffices to prove that the ratio $\operatorname{diam} f(R_1)/\operatorname{diam} f(R_2)$ is uniformly bounded for any congruent boxes R_1 and R_2 with $R_1 \cap R_2 \ne \varnothing$. Let $d = \operatorname{diam} R_1$ and pick a point $y \in R_1 \cap R_2$. There exists $z \in R_2$ such that $|y - z| \ge d/2$. For any $x \in R_1$ we have

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|f(z) - f(y)|} \le \eta \left(\frac{|x - y|}{|z - y|} \right) \le \eta(2),$$

where η is the modulus of quasisymmetry of f. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{diam} f(R_1) \le 2\eta(2)|f(z) - f(y)| \le 2\eta(2) \operatorname{diam} f(R_2)$$

as required. □

Theorem 1.5 provides a large family of isotropic doubling weights. Indeed, for any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $\dim E < 1$ one can find a δ-monotone mapping $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|Df\|$ has essential limit 0 at every point of E (see Theorem 5.6 [15] and Theorem 17 [14]).

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ denote the sets of arbitrarily oriented cubes (resp. rectangular boxes) of diameter at most 1. To a Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we associate two maximal functions

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{Q}}\mu(x) = \sup\{\mu(Q)/\mathcal{L}^n(Q)\colon x \in Q \in \mathcal{Q}\};$$
$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{R}}\mu(x) = \sup\{\mu(R)/\mathcal{L}^n(R)\colon x \in R \in \mathcal{R}\}.$$

Obviously $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{Q}}\mu(x) \le \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{R}}\mu(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 3.3. *If μ is an isotropic doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$, then there exists $C \ge 1$ such that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{R}}\mu(x) \le C\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{Q}}\mu(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Given a box $R \in \mathcal{R}$ containing x, find a cube Q such that $R \subset Q$, the edges of R and Q are parallel, and the edges of Q have the same length as a longest edge of R. Although in general $Q \notin \mathcal{Q}$, the diameter of Q cannot exceed $\sqrt{n}$. Lemma 3.1 (ii) implies $\mu(R)/\mathcal{L}^n(R) \le C\mu(Q)/\mathcal{L}^n(Q)$. The doubling property of μ and the definition of $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{Q}}$ yield $\mu(Q)/\mathcal{L}^n(Q) \le C\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{Q}}(x)$. □

Lemma 3.3 and [21, p. 5] imply that the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{R}}$ satisfies weak $(1,1)$ and strong (p,p) estimates when restricted to the linear span of isotropic doubling weights with the same doubling constant. In [1, Theorem 1.4] the operator $\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{R}}$ was proved to be bounded on certain Besov spaces. Neither Lemma 3.3 nor Theorem 1.4 [1] imply each other.

Example 3.4. The weight $w(x) = |x|^p$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is isotropic doubling if and only if $p > -1$. Since the isotropic doubling condition is preserved under addition and weak* convergence of measures, for any Radon measure μ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and any $\gamma \in (n-1, n)$ the Riesz potential $\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mu$ is isotropic doubling unless $\mathcal{I}_\gamma\mu \equiv \infty$.

Proof. Let μ_p be the measure $|x|^p d\mathcal{L}^n(x)$, and let

$$R_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1| \le 1;\ \forall i > 1\ |x_i| \le \varepsilon\},$$
$$R_2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1 - 2| \le 1;\ \forall i > 1\ |x_i - 1| \le \varepsilon\}.$$

If $-n < p \le -1$, then $\mu_p(R_1)/\varepsilon^{n-1} \to \infty$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Indeed, $|x| \le \sqrt{n}x_1$ whenever $x_1 \ge |x_i|$ for all $i > 1$. The latter holds in particular when $x \in R_1$ and $x_1 \ge \varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$\frac{\mu_p(R_1)}{\varepsilon^{n-1}} \ge n^{p/2} \int_\varepsilon^1 x_1^p dx_1 \to \infty, \quad \varepsilon \to 0.$$

Since $\mu_p(R_2) \le 2^n\varepsilon^{n-1}$, by Lemma 3.1 (ii) the measure μ_p is not isotropic doubling in the range $-n < p \le -1$. The sufficiency part follows from Theorem 1.5. Indeed, for $p > -1$ the mapping $f_p(x) = |x|^p x$ is δ-monotone by Proposition 16 in [14]. By Theorem 1.5 $\|Df\|$ is an isotropic doubling weight. Since $\|Df_p\|$ is a p-homogeneous radially symmetric function, it coincides with a constant multiple of $|x|^p$. □

Given two points $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $[a,b]$ denote the segment $\{\lambda a + (1-\lambda)b\colon \lambda \in [0,1]\}$. A set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is *uniformly linearly non-convex* (ULNC) if there exists $\tau > 0$ such that the following holds: To each pair of distinct points $a, b \in A$ there corresponds $c \in [a,b]$ such that $B(c, \tau|a-b|) \cap A = \varnothing$. [25] The following lemma contains an equivalent definition of ULNC sets.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is ULNC with a constant $\tau > 0$. Let $\tau' = \tau/(2+2\tau)$. Then to each pair of distinct points $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there corresponds $c \in [a,b]$ such that $B(c, \tau'|a-b|) \cap A = \varnothing$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $|a-b| = 1$. Suppose that there are points $a', b' \in A$ such that $|a'-a| \le \tau'$ and $|b'-b| \le \tau'$; otherwise we can set $c = a$ or $c = b$. Since $|a'-b'| \ge (1-2\tau')$, there exists $c' \in [a', b']$ such that $B(c', \tau(1-2\tau'))$ is disjoint from A. Each point of the segment $[a', b']$ is at distance at most τ' from a point of $[a,b]$. Therefore, there exists $c \in [a,b]$ such that $|c - c'| \le \tau'$. The closed ball with center c and radius

$$\tau(1-2\tau') - \tau' = \tau\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{1+\tau}\right) - \frac{\tau}{2+2\tau} = \frac{\tau}{2+2\tau} = \tau'$$

is disjoint from A, as required. □

Proposition 3.6. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonempty ULNC set. Given $p > 0$, define $w(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, A)^p$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The weight w is isotropic doubling.*

The proof is preceded by a lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *A continuous weight $w \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is isotropic doubling if and only if there exists a constant C such that*

$$\int_0^1 w(x_0 + tv)\, dt \le C \int_0^1 w(x_0 + tv')\, dt \tag{3.4}$$

for all points $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all vectors $v, v' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|v| = |v'| > 0$. Moreover, the constant A in (1.6) depends only on C in (3.4).

Proof. If w is continuous and isotropic doubling, then (3.4) follows by applying (1.6) to two thin rectangular boxes containing the segments $\{x_0 + tv \colon 0 \le t \le 1\}$ and $\{x_0 + tv' \colon 0 \le t \le 1\}$. Conversely, suppose that (3.4) holds. Let R_1 and R_2 be congruent boxes with nonempty intersection. Let d be the length of a longest edge of R_i. If $L_i \subset R_i$ is a segment of length d connecting two opposite faces of R_i, then R_i is contained in the closed $\sqrt{n-1}d$-neighborhood of L_i for each $i \in 1, 2$. This implies $\operatorname{dist}(L_1, L_2) \le 2\sqrt{n-1}d$. For an integer $N \ge 2\sqrt{n-1} + 2$ we can find a sequence of segments $l_1, \dots, l_N$ of equal length such that $l_1 = L_1$, $l_N = L_2$, and l_i shares an endpoint with l_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, N-1$. A repeated application of (3.4) yields

$$\int_{L_1} w(x)\, ds \le C^{N-1} \int_{L_2} w(x)\, ds.$$

Since the box R_i is foliated by segments such as L_i, inequality (1.6) holds with $A = C^{N-1}$. □

Proof of Proposition 3.6 . If $\operatorname{dist}(x_0, A) \ge 2|v|$, then

$$\operatorname{dist}(x_0, A) \le \left(\int_0^1 w(x_0 + tv)\, dt\right)^{1/p} \le 3 \operatorname{dist}(x_0, A).$$

If $\operatorname{dist}(x_0, A) \le 2|v|$, then

$$\left(\int_0^1 w(x_0 + tv)\, dt\right)^{1/p} \le 3|v|.$$

Using Lemma 3.5 we obtain the reverse inequality

$$\left(\int_0^1 w(x_0+tv)\,dt\right)^{1/p} \geq c|v|,$$

where $c>0$ depends only on τ in the definition of a ULNC set. By Lemma 3.7 the weight w is isotropic doubling. $\square$

Remark 3.8. Theorem 1.5 admits no converse, that is, there exist isotropically doubling weights that are not comparable to $\|Df\|$ for any δ-monotone mapping f. This follows from the results of Semmes [18] and Laakso [16], who constructed compact ULNC sets $A\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ such that for some $p>0$ the weight $w(x):=\operatorname{dist}(x,A)^p$ is not comparable to $\|Df\|$ for any quasiconformal mapping $f\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^n$. By Proposition 3.6 the weight w is isotropic doubling. The example of Semmes is a form of the Antoine's necklace, and requires $n\geq 3$ (see the proof of Theorem 1.10 in [18]). The example of Laakso is two-dimensional [16, Theorem 1.7]. The fact that the sets considered by Semmes and Laakso are ULNC follows from Theorem 3.3 in [25].

Remark 3.9. Buckley, Hanson and MacManus considered several stronger versions of the doubling condition in [8], but our Definition 1.4 does not appear to be directly related to any of them.

4. The Monge-Ampère measures

The main purpose of this section is to point out a consequence of Theorem 1.5 for convex functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $u\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $n\geq 1$. The *subdifferential* of u at a point $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ is the set

$$\partial u(z)=\{p\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon u(x)\geq u(z)+\langle p,x-z\rangle\ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

The convexity of u implies $\partial u(z)\neq\varnothing$ for all $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$. The function u is differentiable at $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if $\partial u(z)$ is the one-point set $\{\nabla u(z)\}$. Given $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p\in\partial u(z)$, let

$$u_{z,p}(x)=u(x)-u(z)-\langle p,x-z\rangle,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n.$$

The *section* [11, p. 45] of u with the center $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$, direction $p\in\partial u(z)$, and height $t>0$ is defined as

$$S_u(z,p,t)=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n\colon u_{z,p}(x)<t\}.$$

If u is Gâteaux differentiable at z, then we write u_z instead of $u_{z,\nabla u(z)}$. The *Monge-Ampère measure* associated with u is defined by $\mu_u(E)=\mathcal{L}^n(\partial u(E))$ for every Borel set $E\subset\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that adding an affine function to u does not change μ_u. If $u\in C^2$, then μ_u is absolutely continuous with the density $\det D^2u(x)$, where D^2u is the Hessian matrix of u.

Definition 4.1. [15] A convex function $u\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ has *round sections* if there exists a constant $\tau\in(0,1)$ such that for every $z\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $p\in\partial u(z)$ and $t>0$

$$B(z,\tau R)\subset S_u(z,p,t)\subset B(z,R) \tag{4.1}$$

for some $R\in(0,\infty)$.

Proposition 4.2. *If a convex function $u\colon\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ has round sections, then $||D^2u||$ is an isotropic doubling weight.*

Proof. First, consider the case $n = 1$. By Theorem 3.1 [15] and Remark 3.3 [15] u is continuously differentiable and $\nabla u\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasisymmetric. If I and J are adjacent intervals of equal length, then $\mu_u(I) \le \eta(1)\mu_u(J)$, where η is as in 1.5. Thus μ_u is doubling, which in one dimension is the same as being isotropic doubling.

Next, assume $n \ge 2$. By Theorem 3.1 [15] u is continuously differentiable, and the gradient mapping $\nabla u\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is quasiconformal. Since the change of variables formula applies to quasiconformal mappings [26, Theorem 33.3], we have

$$\mu_u(E) = \int_E \det D^2u(x)\, d\mathcal{L}^n(x), \quad E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ measurable.}$$

Quasiconformality also implies that $\det D^2u$ is comparable to $\|D^2u\|^n$ up to a multiplicative constant. By Theorem 14 [14] the gradient ∇u is a δ-monotone mapping, hence $\|D^2u\|$ is isotropic doubling by Theorem 1.5. □

Remark 4.3. The mapping f_μ defined by (1.1) is the gradient of the convex function

$$v_\mu(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ |x-z| - |z| + \frac{\langle x, z\rangle}{|z|} \right\} d\mu(z). \tag{4.2}$$

Indeed, for all $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{x-z}{|x-z|} + \frac{z}{|z|} \in \partial \left(|x-z| - |z| + \frac{\langle x, z\rangle}{|z|} \right),$$

where the subgradient is taken with respect to x. Therefore, $f_\mu(x) \in \partial v_\mu(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The continuity of f_μ implies that $v_\mu(x)$ is differentiable and $\nabla v_\mu = f_\mu$.

If the gradient of a convex function is quasisymmetric, then it is δ-monotone by Lemma 13 in [14]. This together with Remarks 2.3 and 4.3 indicate that condition (2.1) is close to being best possible for the quasiconformality of f_μ in Theorem 2.1.

5. Singular isotropic doubling measures

It is well-known that doubling measures on $\mathbb{R}^n$ can be supported on sets of arbitrarily small positive Hausdorff measure ([3] and [22, p. 40]). This is not true for isotropic doubling measures in dimensions $n \ge 2$ by Lemma 3.1 (ii). In this section we prove Theorem 1.6, which shows that isotropic doubling measures can be singular with respect to $\mathcal{L}^n$. We will use the following notation: $\#A$ is the cardinality of a finite set A, $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$, $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 . Let us introduce a sequence of vectors

$$w^k := 4^{nk^2}(4^k, 4^{2k}, 4^{3k}, \dots, 4^{nk}) \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

For $k \ge 1$ define

$$\lambda_k(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x, w^k\rangle), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

and

$$\Lambda_m(x) = \prod_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(x).$$

We shall obtain a singular isotropic doubling measure μ as the weak* limit of a subsequence of $\{\Lambda_m(x) d\mathcal{L}^n(x)\colon m \ge 1\}$. The proof involves several steps.

Step 1. *Existence of* μ. For each m the weight Λ_m is 2π-periodic in all variables. Let $Q = [-\pi, \pi]^n$. Clearly $\int_Q \Lambda_1(x)\, d\mathcal{L}^n(x) = (2\pi)^n$. For $m \ge 2$ we obtain

$\int_Q(\Lambda_m - \Lambda_{m-1})d\mathcal{L}^n = 0$ by integrating in x_n over the interval $[-\pi, \pi]$ and using the orthogonality of the trigonometric family. Therefore, $\int_Q \Lambda_m \, d\mathcal{L}^n = (2\pi)^n$ for all $m \geq 1$. Choose a subsequence of $\Lambda_m(x)\, d\mathcal{L}^n(x)$ converging in the weak* sense to a (2π)-periodic measure μ with $\mu(Q) = (2\pi)^n$.

Step 2. *Lipschitz estimates.* Since the Euclidean norm of w^k satisfies

$$4^{n(k^2+k)} \leq |w^k| \leq 2 \cdot 4^{n(k^2+k)}, \tag{5.1}$$

λ_k is a Lipschitz function with the constant $L_k := 4^{n(k^2+k)}$. Therefore, for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\frac{\lambda_k(x')}{\lambda_k(x)} \geq \frac{1/2}{1/2 + L_k|x - x'|} \geq 1 - 2L_k|x - x'|. \tag{5.2}$$

Multiplying (5.2) over $k = 1, \dots, m$ yields

$$\frac{\Lambda_m(x')}{\Lambda_m(x)} \geq \prod_{k=1}^{m}(1 - 2L_k|x - x'|) \geq 1 - 2|x - x'|\sum_{k=1}^{m} L_k \geq 1 - 3L_m|x - x'| \tag{5.3}$$

provided that $3L_m|x - x'| < 1$. Thus

$$1 - 3L_m|x - x'| \leq \frac{\Lambda_m(x')}{\Lambda_m(x)} \leq (1 - 3L_m|x - x'|)^{-1}, \quad |x - x'| < \frac{1}{3L_m}. \tag{5.4}$$

Step 3. *Singularity of* μ. Given $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, let $Q(x, r)$ denote the cube $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \forall i \ |x_i - y_i| \leq r\}$. To prove that μ is purely singular, it suffices to show that

$$\liminf_{r \to 0} r^{-n}\mu(Q(x, r)) = 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.5}$$

For a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $\lim_{m\to\infty} \Lambda_m(x) = 0$ by [28, p. 209]. Fix such a point x. For $m \geq 1$ let $r_m = 4^{-n(m+1)^2}\pi$. Note that the functions λ_k, $k > m$, are $2r_m$-periodic in each variable. Using orthogonality as in Step 1, we obtain

$$\mu(Q(x, r_m)) = \int_{Q(x,r_m)} \Lambda_m(x)\, d\mathcal{L}^n(x).$$

For every $y \in Q(x, r_m)$ we have

$$3L_m|x - y| \leq 3 \cdot 4^{n(m^2+m)}\sqrt{n}4^{-n(m+1)^2}\pi \to 0 \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

Therefore, $\sup\{\Lambda_m(y) : y \in Q(x, r_m)\} \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$. This proves (5.5).

It remains to prove that Λ_m satisfies (1.6) with a constant independent of m. Consider a segment L given by the parametric equations $x = x_0 + tv$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, of length $r = \|v\| > 0$. Set $K = \max\{k \geq 1 : 4^{nk^2} \leq 1/r\}$ or $K = 0$ if no such k exists. Our goal is to show that

$$\int_L \Lambda_m \, ds \underset{n}{\approx} r \prod_{k=1}^{K\wedge m}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x_0, w^k\rangle)\right) \tag{5.6}$$

where $\underset{n}{\approx}$ indicates that multiplicative constants in the estimate depend only on n. The estimate (5.6) implies (1.6) by Lemma 3.7.

Step 4. *Low-frequency terms.* If $K \geq 2$, then the terms λ_k with $1 \leq k \leq K - 1$ are essentially constant on L. Indeed, (5.1) implies

$$|\langle v, w^k\rangle| \leq 2r4^{n(k^2+k)} \leq 2 \cdot 4^{-nK}. \tag{5.7}$$

This implies that for all $0 \le t \le 1$ and all $1 \le k \le K-1$ we have

$$|\lambda_k(x_0+tv)-\lambda_k(x_0)| \le 4^{-nK},$$

hence

$$1-2\cdot 4^{-nK} \le \frac{\lambda_k(x_0+tv)}{\lambda_k(x_0)} \le 1+2\cdot 4^{-nK}.$$

Taking a product over $k=1,\dots,K-1$ yields

$$(1-2\cdot 4^{-nK})^{K-1} \le \prod_{k=1}^{K-1} \frac{\lambda_k(x_0+tv)}{\lambda_k(x_0)} \le (1+2\cdot 4^{-nK})^{K-1}. \tag{5.8}$$

Since

$$(1-2\cdot 4^{-nK})^{K-1} \ge 1-2(K-1)4^{-nK} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$(1+2\cdot 4^{-nK})^{K-1} \le (1-2\cdot 4^{-nK})^{1-K} \le 2,$$

inequality (5.8) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int_L \Lambda_m\,ds \le r &\prod_{k=1}^{m\wedge(K-1)} \Big(1+\frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x_0, w^k\rangle)\Big) \\ &\times \int_0^1 \prod_{k=m\wedge(K-1)}^{m} \Big(1+\frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x_0+tv, w^k\rangle)\Big)\,dt \le 2\int_L \Lambda_m\,ds.\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

The estimate (5.9) proves (5.6) in the case $m \le K+1$.

Step 5. *High-frequency terms.* For the rest of the proof we assume $m \ge K+2$. Let $v^* = (|v_1|, |v_2|, \dots, |v_n|)$ be the vector whose components are the absolute values of the components of v. Introduce two sets of integers depending on v:

$$\begin{aligned} G &= \left\{K+2 \le k \le m\colon |\langle v, w^k\rangle| \ge \frac{1}{4}\langle v^*, w^k\rangle\right\};\\ B &= \{K+2 \le k \le m\colon k \notin G\}.\end{aligned}$$

For every $k \in G$ the restriction of λ_k to L is rapidly oscillating, because

$$|\langle v, w^k\rangle| \ge 4^{nk^2-1}\sum_{i=1}^n 4^{ik}|v_i| \ge 4^{nk^2+k-1}|v| \ge 4^{nk^2+k-1}4^{-n(K+1)^2} \ge 4^{nk}. \tag{5.10}$$

Furthermore, if $k \in G$ and $k > l \ge 1$, then the restriction of λ_k to L has period at least 4^{nk} times smaller than the period of the restriction of λ_l:

$$|\langle v, w^k\rangle| \ge 4^{nk^2-1}\sum_{i=1}^n 4^{ik}|v_i| \ge 4^{n(k^2-l^2)-1}\sum_{i=1}^n 4^{nl^2+il}|v_i| \ge 4^{nk}|\langle v, w^l\rangle|. \tag{5.11}$$

We have chosen the vectors w^k so that $\#B$ is bounded by a constant depending only on n, by Lemma 5.2 below. Hence

$$\prod_{k=K}^{m} \lambda_k(x) \underset{n}{\approx} \prod_{k\in G}\lambda_k(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{5.12}$$

Observe that

$$\prod_{k\in G}\Big(1+\frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x_0+tv, w^k\rangle)\Big) = 1+\sum_{A\subseteq G,\ A\ne\varnothing} \frac{1}{2^{\#A}}\prod_{k\in A}\cos(\langle x_0+tv, w^k\rangle). \tag{5.13}$$

Converting the trigonometric product into a sum, we obtain

$$\prod_{k\in A}\cos(\langle x_0+tv,w^k\rangle)=\frac{1}{2^{\#A}}\sum_{\pm}\cos\left(\sum_{k\in A}\pm\langle x_0+tv,w^k\rangle\right),$$

where the exterior sum is taken over all $2^{\#A}$ choices of the ± signs. Using (5.11), we find that for any choice of the signs

$$\left|\sum_{k\in A}\pm\langle v,w^k\rangle\right|\geq\frac{2}{3}|\langle v,w^{\max A}\rangle|,$$

where $\max A$ is the maximal element of A. Therefore,

$$\left|\int_0^1\prod_{k\in A}\cos(\langle x_0+tv,w^k\rangle)\,dt\right|\leq 3|\langle v,w^{\max A}\rangle|^{-1}\leq 3\cdot 4^{-n\max A},$$

where the last inequality follows from (5.10). For any $l\in G$ there exist at most 2^{l-K-2} nonempty subsets $A\subseteq G$ such that $\max A=l$. Thus we can estimate the righthand side of (5.13) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{A\subseteq G,\ A\neq\varnothing}\frac{1}{2^{\#A}}\left|\int_0^1\prod_{k\in A}\cos(\langle x_0+tv,w^k\rangle)\,dt\right| &\leq 3\sum_{l=K+2}^{m}4^{-nl}2^{l-K-2}\\ &\leq 3\sum_{l=K+2}^{\infty}4^{-l}=4^{-K-1}\leq\frac{1}{4}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

Combining (5.13) and (5.14) yields

$$\frac{3}{4}\leq\int_0^1\prod_{k\in G}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x_0+tv,w^k\rangle)\right)dt\leq\frac{5}{4},$$

which together with (5.9) and (5.12) imply

$$\int_L\Lambda_m\,ds\underset{n}{\approx}r\prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\cos(\langle x_0,w^k\rangle)\right).\tag{5.15}$$

This proves (5.6), and thereby the theorem. □

Remark 5.1. The above construction is not symmetric with respect to the variables $x_1,\dots,x_n$. This feature of μ will be used to prove Theorem 1.7. But one can construct a more symmetric singular measure $\nu=\sum_\sigma T^\sigma_\#\mu$, where $T^\sigma(x_1,\dots,x_n)=(x_{\sigma(1)},\dots,x_{\sigma(n)})$ and the summation is over all permutations of n elements. The isotropic doubling condition is obviously preserved under addition of measures.

Now we prove Lemma 5.2, which was used in Step 5 of the proof of Theorem 1.6 with parameters $q=4$ and $\varepsilon=3/4$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $(v_1,\dots,v_n)$ be a nonzero vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $n\geq 2$. Given $q>1$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,1)$, define*

$$B(q,\varepsilon)=\left\{k\in\mathbb{Z}\colon\ \left|\sum_{i=1}^n q^{ik}v_i\right|<\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}\sum_{i=1}^n q^{ik}|v_i|\right\}.$$

Then

$$\#B(q,\varepsilon) \le n(n-1)\frac{\log((n-1)/\varepsilon)}{\log q}. \tag{5.16}$$

Proof. We claim that

$$B(q,\varepsilon) \subseteq \bigcup_{1\le i<j\le n} A_{ij}, \tag{5.17}$$

where

$$A_{ij} = \left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}\colon \frac{\varepsilon}{n-1} \le \frac{q^{ik}|v_i|}{q^{jk}|v_j|} \le \frac{n-1}{\varepsilon}\right\}$$

if $v_j \ne 0$ and $A_{ij} = \varnothing$ otherwise. Indeed, suppose that $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \bigcup_{1\le i<j\le n} A_{ij}$. Let m be such that $q^{ik}|v_i|$ is maximal when $i = m$. Then

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{ik} v_i\right| \ge q^{mk}|v_m| - \sum_{i\ne m} q^{ik}|v_i| \ge (1-\varepsilon)q^{mk}|v_m|,$$

while on the other hand

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{ik}|v_i| \le q^{mk}|v_m| + (n-1)\frac{\varepsilon}{n-1}q^{mk}|v_m| = (1+\varepsilon)q^{mk}|v_m|.$$

Thus $k \ne B(q,\varepsilon)$, which proves (5.17). It remains to estimate the cardinality of the sets A_{ij}, $1 \le i < j \le n$. We may assume that $v_j \ne 0$, for otherwise A_{ij} is empty. Since

$$A_{ij} = \left\{k \in \mathbb{Z}\colon \log\frac{\varepsilon}{n-1} \le k(i-j)\log q + \log\frac{|v_i|}{|v_j|} \le \log\frac{n-1}{\varepsilon}\right\},$$

it follows that

$$\#A_{ij} \le \frac{2\log((n-1)/\varepsilon)}{|i-j|\log q} \le \frac{2\log((n-1)/\varepsilon)}{\log q},$$

which after summation yields (5.16). □

Question 5.3. Is it true that every isotropic doubling measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the s-dimensional Hausdorff measure for all $s < n$?

Lemma 3.1 (ii) gives an affirmative answer to Question 5.3 in the case $s \le n-1$.

6. Bi-Lipschitz transformations

A mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is called bi-Lipschitz if there exists $L \ge 1$ such that

$$L^{-1}|x-y| \le |f(x) - f(y)| \le L|x-y|$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to see that the doubling condition is invariant under bi-Lipschitz mappings. In contrast, Theorem 1.7 asserts that the isotropic doubling condition is not bi-Lipschitz invariant in dimensions $n \ge 2$. Its proof is preceded by a definition and a lemma.

Definition 6.1. An unbounded Jordan curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a *chord-arc curve* if there is a constant C such that for any two points $a, b \in \Gamma$ the part of Γ bounded by a and b has length at most $C|a-b|$.

Given a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $N_\varepsilon A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n\colon\ \mathrm{dist}(x, A) \le \varepsilon\}$.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that* μ *is a Radon measure on* $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$ *such that for every bi-Lipschitz mapping* $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ *the pushforward measure* $f_{\#}\mu$ *is isotropic doubling. Then for any chord-arc curve* $\Gamma\colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ *and any bounded subarc* $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ *we have*

$$0 < \liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{1-n} \mu(N_\varepsilon \Gamma') \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{1-n} \mu(N_\varepsilon \Gamma') < \infty. \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. There exists a bi-Lipschitz mapping $g\colon \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ that transforms Γ into the real axis, see [23, p. 89] or [13, Proposition 1.13]. Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be the bi-Lipschitz extension of g that acts trivially on the remaining $(n-2)$ coordinates. Let L be a bi-Lipschitz constant of f. Note that

$$N_{\varepsilon/L} f(\Gamma') \subset f(N_\varepsilon \Gamma') \subset N_{L\varepsilon} f(\Gamma'),$$

and $f(\Gamma')$ is a line segment. Lemma 3.1 (ii) implies that for any line segment I the quantity $\varepsilon^{1-n}\mu(N_\varepsilon I)$ remains bounded away from 0 and ∞ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.7 . We use the notation of Theorem 1.6. Define a sequence of nonnegative functions on $\mathbb{R}$ as follows: $h_0(t) = -t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$h_k(t) = \min\{s \geq h_{k-1}(t)\colon \lambda_k(t, s, 0, \dots, 0) = 3/2\} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \tag{6.2}$$

Note that $\lambda_k(t, s, 0, \dots, 0) = 3/2$ precisely when $\cos(4^{nk^2+k}(t + 4^k s)) = 1$. Therefore, h_k is a decreasing piecewise affine function such that $h_k'(t) = -4^{-k}$ at the points where h_k is continuous. Also,

$$0 \leq h_k(t) - h_{k-1}(t) \leq 2\pi 4^{-nk^2-2k}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{6.3}$$

Therefore, the limit $h = \lim_{k\to\infty} h_k$ is a decreasing function on $\mathbb{R}$. Using (6.3) and the Lipschitz property of λ_k, we find that for any $1 \leq k < l$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_k(t, h_l(t), 0, \dots, 0) &\geq \lambda_k(t, h_k(t), 0, \dots, 0) - 4^{nk^2+2k}(h_l(t) - h_k(t)) \\ &\geq \frac{3}{2} - 2\pi 4^{nk^2+2k} \sum_{j=k+1}^{l} 4^{-nj^2-2j} \geq \frac{3}{2} - 4^{-2nk}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Therefore, $\Lambda_k(t, h(t), 0, \dots, 0) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$ uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let Γ be the curve obtained from the graph of h by filling the gaps at the points of discontinuity with vertical segments. Since h is a decreasing function, Γ is a chord-arc curve. Let $G' = G \cap \{(x, y)\colon |x| \leq 1\}$.

In view of Lemma 6.2 it remains to prove that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{-1} \mu(N_\varepsilon \Gamma') = \infty \tag{6.5}$$

Pick a large positive integer K and let $\varepsilon = 4^{-nK^2}$. For any line segment L of length ε we have

$$\int_L \Lambda_m \, ds \underset{n}{\approx} \varepsilon \max_L \Lambda_K, \quad m \geq K, \tag{6.6}$$

by virtue of (5.6). For segments L at distance less than ε from the graph of h, the righthand side of (6.6) is large by the Lipschitz estimate (5.4) applied to Λ_{K-1}. Letting $K \to \infty$ we obtain (6.5). ☐

Acknowledgements

We thank Robert Kaufman and Jeremy Tyson for helpful discussions. We also thank the referee for several comments and corrections.

References

[1] Aimar, H., Forzani, L., Naibo, V.: Rectangular differentiation of integrals of Besov functions, Math. Res. Lett. **9**, no. 2–3, 173–189 (2002)

[2] Alberti, G., Ambrosio, L.: A geometrical approach to monotone functions in $\mathbb{R}^n$, Math. Z. **230**, no. 2, 259–316 (1999)

[3] Beurling, A., Ahlfors, L.V.: The boundary correspondence under quasiconformal mappings, Acta Math. **96**, 125–142 (1956)

[4] Bishop, C.J.: An A_1 weight not comparable with any quasiconformal Jacobian. In: Canary R., Gilman J., Heinonen J., Masur H. (eds.) Proceedings of the 2005 Ahlfors-Bers Colloquium, Contemp. Math, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, to appear.

[5] Bonk, M., Heinonen, J., Rohde, S.: Doubling conformal densities, J. Reine Angew. Math. **541** (2001), 117–141.

[6] Bonk, M., Heinonen, J., Saksman, E.: The quasiconformal Jacobian problem. In: Abikoff, W., Haas, A. (eds.) In the tradition of Ahlfors and Bers, III, Contemp. Math., **355**, 77–96, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (2004)

[7] Bonk, M., Heinonen, J., Saksman, E.: Logarithmic potentials, quasiconformal flows, and Q-curvature, preprint (2006)

[8] Buckley, S.M., Hanson, B., MacManus, P.: Doubling for general sets, Math. Scand. **88**, no. 2, 229–245 (2001)

[9] David, G., Semmes, S.: Strong A_∞ weights, Sobolev inequalities and quasiconformal mappings. In: Sadosky C. (ed.), Analysis and partial differential equations, 101–111, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **122**, 101–111 (1990)

[10] Gehring, F.W.: The L^p-integrability of the partial derivatives of a quasiconformal mapping, Acta Math. **130**, 265–277 (1973)

[11] Gutiérrez, C.E.: The Monge-Ampère equation, Birkhäuser, Boston–Basel–Berlin (2001)

[12] Heinonen, J.: Lectures on analysis on metric spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg (2001)

[13] Jerison, D.S., Kenig, C.E.: Hardy spaces, A_∞, and singular integrals on chord-arc domains, Math. Scand. **50**, no. 2, 221–247 (1982).

[14] Kovalev, L.V.: Quasiconformal geometry of monotone mappings, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.

[15] Kovalev, L.V., Maldonado, D.: Mappings with convex potentials and the quasiconformal Jacobian problem, Illinois J. Math. **49**, no. 4, 1039–1060 (2005).

[16] Laakso, T.J.: Plane with A_∞-weighted metric not bi-Lipschitz embeddable to $\mathbb{R}^n$, Bull. London Math. Soc. **34**, no. 6, 667–676 (2002).

[17] Rohde, S.: Quasicircles modulo bilipschitz maps, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **17**, no. 3, 643–659 (2001).

[18] Semmes, S.: On the nonexistence of bi-Lipschitz parameterizations and geometric problems about A_∞-weights, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **12**, no. 2, 337–410 (1996).

[19] Sobolevskii, P.E.: On equations with operators forming an acute angle, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.) **116**, 754–757 (1957).

[20] Staples, S.G.: Doubling measures and quasiconformal maps, Comment. Math. Helv. **67**, no. 1, 119–128 (1992).

[21] Stein, E.M.: Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)

[22] Stein, E.M.: Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, Princeton University Press, Princeton (1993)

[23] Tukia, P.: Extension of quasisymmetric and Lipschitz embeddings of the real line into the plane, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **6**, no. 1, 89–94 (1981).

[24] Tukia, P., Väisälä, J.: Quasisymmetric embeddings of metric spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **5**, no. 1, 97–114 (1980)

[25] Tyson, J.T., Wu, J.-M.: Characterizations of snowflake metric spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **30**, no. 2, 313–336 (2005)
[26] Väisälä, J.: Lectures on n-dimensional quasiconformal mappings, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 229. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1971)
[27] Zeidler, E.: Nonlinear functional analysis and its applications II/B. Nonlinear monotone operators, Springer-Verlag, New York–Heidelberg (1990)
[28] Zygmund, A.: Trigonometric Series, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2002)

Department of Mathematics, Mailstop 3368, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA
E-mail address: `lkovalev@math.tamu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA
E-mail address: `maldona@math.umd.edu`

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, 1409 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
E-mail address: `wu@math.uiuc.edu`